For the Northern District of California

1	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	
7	ICONIX, INC., No. C 06-2201 SBA
8	Plaintiff.
9	ORDER v.
10	LANCE TOKUDA, et al.,
11	Defendants.
12	
13	On June 9, 2006, Defendant Netpickle, Inc. filed a Motion pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-3 for
14	an Order Extending the Time for Briefing and Hearing on Plaintiff Iconix, Inc.'s Motion for Preliminary
15	Injunction. Ordinarily, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-3(c), Plaintiff would have until June 14, 2006 to
16	respond to Defendant's Motion. However, given the nature of the timing concerns that both parties have
17	expressed,
18	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Plaintiff shall file a response to Defendant's administrative
19	Motion [Docket No. 34] no later than June 13, 2006 at 8:30 a.m.
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.
21	Saindre B. Gemetrong
22	Dated: 6/12/06 SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG United States District Judge
23	Office States District Judge
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	